A Modern Committee system for Sheffield, based on experience of other councils

Sheffield doesn't need to reinvent the wheel



No need to reinvent the wheel

- Many UK cities/large councils already use committees to make decisions (including Core Cities, larger than Sheffield)
- SCC's decisions are not fundamentally different to, or more numerous than other cities/large councils
- So, no reason to think the shape of Sheffield's system needs to be fundamentally different from the norm
- All councils changing to an MCS have explicitly done it without increasing costs or bureaucracy
- Surely, Sheffield will follow this national blueprint?

Some basics are missing

- Other councils, from the very start, state their intent to:
 - 1. Increase the legitimacy of council decision-making
 - 2. Make key improvements to democracy & representation (embedding democratic values and procedures)
 - 3. Make change cost-neutral
 - 4. Have no significant increase in bureaucracy
- These intents are the foundation for guiding the whole process and design of a new MCS
- Without intents, goal is unclear & process inefficient e.g. some still mistakenly think that an MCS will mean "many meetings" & "cost a lot more"

What have other councils done?

- A Modern Committee system for a city the size of Sheffield, based on similar councils, will have:
 - 6 policy committees (Maximum. Some have fewer)
 - 14 councillors per committee
 - Around the same number of meetings for each councillor, compared to the previous system
 - Cost of this for SCC = £49,000/year less than old system

6 Committees

- 6 committees is the norm
- Replaces the 10 councillors in the cabinet (No reason for 10 members with portfolios in 'strong leader' system – just the legal maximum)
- Each Policy committee gets the remit from Full Council to make decisions in its policy area
- No 'Executive' committee (recommend from national experts)
- 14 councillors per committee (Legally, must be politically proportionate)
- Chairs should be politically proportionate
 - Labour 3, LibDem 2, Green 1
- Vice-chairs from a different party to the chair

Example of Committee Remits

(Just examples. Sheffield will choose remits to best fit the challenges it faces)

- 1. Education, Children & Families
- 2. Housing, Health & Social Care
- 3. Climate change, Environment, Transport & Development
- 4. Communities, Equalities & Culture
- **5.** Business, Regeneration & Investment
- 6. Long-term Strategy & Governance

Improving legitimacy

More inclusive decision-making

Especially when the ruling group (whether Labour or LibDem, recently in Sheffield) has a low electoral mandate, from a low voting turnout

Power-sharing and cooperation

Cross-party, with stakeholders, communities & those the council has found it hard to listen to

- Committees involving outside voices
 - Co-opting stakeholders who pledge to facilitate 2-way connections with their networks
 - Use more modern, flexible, efficient and open ways of securing input, as highlighted during pandemic
- Vital to clearly show the impact and influence of this input on decisions

Typical frequency of meetings

- Committees do not need lots of meetings to make better informed decisions than the limited time/knowledge available from 1 Cabinet member
- The 5 Policy committees meet 12 times/year
- Full council meets 6 times/year
- Long-term Strategy committee meets 6 times/year
- Old scrutiny committees not needed. Built in to the decision-making process (~21 fewer meetings/year for SCC)
- Councillors will go to around the same number of meetings as before

Costs

- Based on the national MCS design norms & meeting frequency, cost/meeting (provided by SCC officers) and existing SCC allowances for committee chairs
- £49,000/year less than SCC's old system
 - Gives scope to, e.g., incentivise community stakeholders
- Committee chairs & vice-chairs both have allowances
 - Vice-chairs must also play important role & so have an allowance in the new system, that they didn't before
- All councils have explicitly decided from the start that change to an MCS will be cost-neutral

Cost comparison details

	roposal	to keep the exist	ing strong lea	der system					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Meeting a Q P 1	Full Council	Cabinet		Children YPFS Scrutiny		Healthier CASC Scrutiny	Safer SC Scrutiny			
Frequency/year	12	12	12	7	5	7	6			
Democratic Services	£14,820	£14,820	£14,820	£8,645	£6,175	£8,645	£7,410			£75,335
CIIr Allowances	£0	£89,060	£4,729	£4,729	£4,729	£4,729	£4,729			£112,705
									Total	£188,040
Modern Committee S	system									
	TO U	Policy &	Committee A	Committee B	Committee C	Committee D	Committee E	Urgency		
Meeting	Council	Strategy Committee	oommittee A	oonninkee B				Committee		
	Council	Strategy		12				Committee		
Frequency/year	Council 6	Strategy Committee 6	12	12	12	12	12	Committee		£90,155
Frequency/year Democratic Services	Council 6	Strategy Committee 6 £7,410	12 £14,820	12	12 £14,820	12 £14,820	12 £14,820	Committee 1 £1,235		£90,155 £48,056
Meeting Frequency/year Democratic Services CIIr Allowances	Council 6 £7,410	Strategy Committee 6 £7,410	12 £14,820	12 £14,820	12 £14,820	12 £14,820	12 £14,820	Committee 1 £1,235		and the second s